



NCTM

/

2011

.

.

.

.

.

..... .

⋮

.

.

.

.

	:	
1		1.1
6		2.1
8		3.1
8		4.1
9		5.1
10		6.1
11		7.1
	:	
12		1.2
12		1.1.2
13		2.1.2
13		3.1.2
14		4.1.2
14	(NCTM)	5.1.2

19		6.1.2
	2010	
20	(11 12)	7.1.2
23	( - )	8.1.2
24		2.2
24		1.2.2
34		2.2.2
39		3.2.2
		:
42		1.3
42		2.3
43		3.3
44		4.3
45		5.3
45		6.3
46		7.3
47		8.3
47		9.3
		:
49		1.4
49		1.1.4
54		2.1.4
68		3.1.4
73		2 .4
74		3 .4
75		
81		

---

43	.	1
44	.	2
46	. NCTM	3
	( )	
50	. NCTM	4
55		5
	.	
57	.	6
59	.	7
62	.	8
64		9
	.	
67	.	10
	( )	
69	. NCTM	11
70		12
	)	

		.(	NCTM	
71	.			13
			NCTM	
72			)	14
		.(	NCTM	
72				15
		.	NCTM	

---

81

84

92

94

NCTM



NCTM

2011

. NCTM

. 58 NCTM  
(150)  
(235)

.2011/2010  
( )

( ANOVA )

NCTM

.

.

**Abstract**  
**Implementing Evaluation Processes Based on NCTM Evaluation**  
**Standards by the 11<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> Mathematics Teachers**

**Jokha bint Khamees bin Mohamed Al Shagsiah**

**Mutah University, 2011**

The study aims at investigating the degree of applying the evaluation techniques by mathematics teachers of grades 11 and 12 as per the evaluation standards of the National Council of Teachers of Mathematics.

To accommodate the study questions, the researcher has prepared a questionnaire of 58 items as per the NCTM standards. The study sample includes 150 female and male mathematics teachers from the study community of 235 female and male mathematics teachers for grades 11 and 12 in AL-Dakhliyah Region of Oman for the year 2010 /2011.

The average, the standard deviation, T test and ANOVA analysis conducted have proved that there are differences of statistical indications in the degree of applying the evaluation techniques by mathematics teachers for grades 11 and 12 as per the evaluation standards of the National Council of Teachers of Mathematics. These differences proved to be attributed to the variables of gender in favor of feminine. Other differences of statistical indications proved to be ascribed to the school variable in favor of the 12<sup>th</sup> grade class. Moreover, other variables are ascribed to the academic qualifications in favor of BA holders and the years of experience.

The study recommends that mathematics teachers should use the modern methods and techniques of evaluation through focusing on the behavioral aspects of students and their goals. Furthermore, the study recommends that the learning and evaluation methods of students should be developed as per the standards and criteria of the National Council for the mathematics female and male teachers in all Omani schools.

## 1.1

:

.

.

.(2008 )

(2006 )

.

)

.(1998

(2003 )

.

.

(195 2009 ) (Popham, 2003 & Ryan, 1994)

:

.1

.2

.3

.4

.( )

.5

2003 )

(2006

:

)

.( 2007

.( NCTM, 1995 )

.

( 2005/2004)

( 2004/158)

( 2020)

(1995)

(1996)

( )

(2002/64)

(2002)

(2003)

(2002)

(2005)

(2004)

.(2 2005

)

- 15)

( 2004 18

.(

)

(35)

"

.(29 2010 )"

)

.(2010

.

:

.

:

.(2009 )

1989 (NCTM)

(Evaluation and Curriculum standards for School Mathematics)

1995

2000 .(2004 )

.(Assessment Standards for " "

.

:

.

.(NCTM, 1995)

.(NCTM, 1995)

. NCTM

: 2 .1

:

.



(11)  
((1) )

(%10)

NCTM  
NCTM  
NCTM

NCTM  
NCTM  
( 2010  
2004

NCTM  
:  
NCTM  
NCTM  
NCTM

.1

.2

.3

NCTM

( )

**: 3.1**

•

•

.1

NCTM

•

.2

NCTM

.

.3

NCTM

.( )

: 4.1

•

.1

•

.2

•

.3

•

.4

.

.5

.

: 5.1

:

.(1997 )

:

.

: :

.

.(2006 )

:

.

)

" " " " :

" : : (20 2007 20 2005

."

:

.

:NCTM

1989 .(NCTM)  
1995  
2000  
.( 2003 )

.(( ) ) (NCTM, 1995)

:

.( )

( ) :

.

:

:

.

:

:

**6.1**

:

( 12 -11)

.  
.

( 2011/2010) :

:

.

:

.(NCTM, 1995)

: 7.1

.1

.( NCTM, 1995 )

.( ) NCTM, 1995

.2

: 1.2

NCTM

(NCTM, 1995)

. 2010

: 1.1.2

.

.

.

" : (12 2006 )

( ) :

"  
.

" (320 2003 )

"  
.

" : (3 1986 )

"  
.

" (NCTM, 1995, 1)

"  
.

"

"  
.

: 2.1.2

) (2009 ) (2008 )  
:(2006 ) (1997 ) (1984 ) (2009

.

: 3.1.2

(2009 ) (2009 ) (1998 )  
(2006 ) (1997 ) (2008 ) (1984 )  
 : (2001 )  
) .( ) ( )  
.(2003 ) (2004

: 4.1.2

)

(1997 ) (2006 ) (2003

:

: .1

.

: .2

.

(2004 )

:

.

NCTM, 1995

.

:(NCTM) 5.1.2

(NCTM, 1989,

.(1995, 2000

.



(NCTM,1995) 1995

:

.1

.

.2

.

.3

.

.4

)

(

.

:

.5

)

.(

.

.6

.

:

.1

.

.2

.

.3

:

.1

.2

.3

.4

( )

(

.5

:

.

.(NCTM,1995)

2000

:

:

.1

.

:

.2

.(NCTM,

2000)

6.1.2

: 2010

.( )

" (Assessment)

.(3 2010 )"  
(Continuous Assessment)

"

:  
)"

(3 2010

)

:(6 2010

.( — ) .1

. .2

.( ) .3

. .4

	.( )	.5
	.	.6
	.(Accountability)( )	.7
)		.8
.(		
.		.9
2010 :	(11 12)	7.1.2
	)	(5
	:	
	:(Oral work )	.1
	)	
	.(	
	:	.2
	:	
.	:	.3



	.			
:	:	:	:	.10
:		/		
		:		.1
	:			
		:		.1
	.			
		:		.2
	.			
	:			.3
	.			
	:			.2



	.	
:( - )	8.1.2	
:		
	.1	
.		
	.2	
.		
- )	.3	
.	( -	
	.4	
	.	
:	.5	
	.	
	.6	
.		
( )	.7	

.

NCTM

NCTM

NCTM

.

: 2.2

(NCTM)

.( NCTM )

: 1.2.2

(2010 )

(363)

·  
:

(2010 )

(74)

·  
(2009 )

(211)

:

.1

·  
.2

.3

.

:

.1

.

.2

.

(2009 )

(18)

(259)

:

.1

.

.2

.

.3

.

.4

.

.5

.

.6

.7

.

:

.

:

.1

.

.2

.

.3

.

.4

.  
:  
(2010 )  
(2008 )  
)  
(

(2006 )

:

.1

)

.2

.(

.3

.4

(2005 )

(158)

： (135)

)：  
： (

：

·  
(2003 )

： (299)  
.1

·

.2

.

.3

.

.4

.

.5

.

(2003 )

( 1419)

(83) (230)

:

.1

.

.2

- - )

(

.

.3

( )

.

.4

- - )

(

- -

.

(2003 )

(600)



·  
(2001 )  
(41)

:

(70%)  
(30%)

·  
(1998 )

(5)

(161)

:

:

.1

.

.2

.

(1997 )

. (117)

.

) (1996 ) (2004

(103)

( )  
:  
(52)

.1

.2

.3

.4

)  
(

:

.1

.2

: 2.2.2

(Choppell & Hickman, 2009,

(McKinney, Berry,

.2000 NCTM

(99)

NCTM

(2010 )

(Andrade, 2009)

self- )

‘(efficiency scale

(Young, 2007)

NCTM

NCTM

(Susuwele & William, 2005)

( )

.  
 ) (Faith, Todd, 2004)  
 " (2010  
 "

(79)

.  
 (McDonald & Boud, 2003)

( )

(256)

(259)

(85%)

(90%)

(98%)

(85%)

(98%)

(89%)

:

" (Fritz, 2001)

"

(4)

(2010 )

(Levy, Carofalo & Timmerman, 2001)

(El-Koumy, 2001)

(Bolte, 1999)

(108)

( )

.  
(Jurdak & Abu.Zein, 1998 )

)

(

.

.



) (Hinzman, 1997)  
" (2010

"

.

:

3.2.2

:

.1

(Mckinney, Berry, Choppell & Hickman, 2009;

(2003 2003 2006 Young, 2007;

.2

(Susuwele &William, 2005

.(2006

.3

)

NCTM

(Andrade, 2009; McDonald & Boud, :

2003; Levy, Carofalo & Timmerman, 2001; El-Koumy, 2001;

Hinzman; 1997Bolte,1999; Jurdak& A.Zein,1998;

.(2005 2010

(1997 ) .4

.

- .5  
2005 2008 )  
(2001
- .6  
:  
(Susuwele & William, 2005; Mckinney, Berry, Choppell &  
(2003 2006 'Hickman, 2009;  
(2003 ) .7
- .8  
(McKinney, Berry, Choppell & Hickman,  
2009)  
.NCTM  
(Young, 2007) .9
- .10  
(El-Koumy, 2001 '2009 2010 )  
.11  
(2009 2010 )  
(Faith, Todd, 2004) .12

(Fritz, 2001)

.13

.

.

NCTM

.

NCTM

.

NCTM

:

.

:

**1.3**

.

:

**2.3**

( )

(246)

2011/2010

:

(1)

.

(1)

14	5	9
57	28	29
49	26	23
41	21	20
18	8	10
7	4	3
43	23	20
17	11	6
246	126	120

: **3.3**

(11)

(235)

(150)

(%64)

(2)

(2)

6	-	6
32	14	18
32	17	15
26	13	13
11	5	6
4	2	2
28	15	13
11	7	4
150	73	77

: **4.3**

(NCTM 1995)

2011/2010

. NCTM .1  
.2  
.NCTM  
.3

:

: :

.  
(58) :

(NCTM, 1995)

)  
(1 2 3 4 5) (

: **5.3**

( ) (8) (70)

%80

.

. (58)

: **6.3**

(Cronbach Alpha Equation)

(0,87)

(3)

NCTM	
0.9199	1
0.8737	2
0.8838	3
0.8488	4
0.8621	5
0.8881	6
0.8758	

7.3

:

:

.1

.2

.3

.4

(10-6)

NCTM



: 8.3

:

.1

.2

.3

NCTM, ) NCTM .4  
1995)

.NCTM, 1995 .5

.6

) .7

.(

.8

.9

.10

(SPSS)

.11

: 9.3

: SPSS

- .1  
:  
( )
- .2  
:  
.
- .3  
:  
( )
- .  
( )

## 1.4

. NCTM

. NCTM

.

:

### 1.1.4

:

. NCTM

NCTM

( )

(0.05)

NCTM

. (4)

(4)

( )

NCTM

---

( )					
0.05					
(*)					
0.000	28.31	19.54	219.19	58	174

---

$$\frac{\times}{\text{---}} = ( \text{---} ) \quad (137 \text{ } 1991 \text{ } (*) )$$

(1998 ) (1997 )  
(Bolte, 1999) (Jurdak & Abuzein, 1998)  
(Fritz, 2001) (Levy, Carofolo & Timmerman, 2001)  
) (Faith & Todd, 2004) (2003 )  
(Young , 2007) (2006 ) (2005  
(2009 ) (Andrade, 2009) (2008 )  
(2010 )

(EL- (Hinzman, 1997) (1996 )  
(2003 ) (2001 ) koumy, 2001)  
(Susuwele & William, 2005) (2003 )

) (McKinney, Berry, Choppell& Hickman , 2009)  
.(2010 ) (2009

.

)

.(

.

:

:

.

(NCTM,

1989)

.

(NCTM, 1995)

)

(

)

(

.

.

( ) :

.

.(NCTM, 1995)

2000

:

.

(Continuous Assessment)

)

) :

)

(

(

–

( )

(Accountability)

)

.(

:

.

:

2.1.4

:

NCTM

NCTM

.(10-9-8-7-6-5 )



:

NCTM

(5)

.

(5)

---

1	82.8	4.14		55
2	80	4.00		21
2	80	4.00		2
3	79.4	3.97		1
4	76.8	3.84		3
5	72.4	3.62		4

---

( ) ( )

NCTM

(4.14-3.62)

" : (55)

(4.14) "

(82.8)

:

(4)

"

" :

(72.4)

(3.62)

.

:

NCTM

(6)

.

(6)

---

1	84.4	4.22		12
---	------	------	--	----

.

2	79.8	3.99		14
---	------	------	--	----

.

3	79.6	3.98		56
---	------	------	--	----

.

4	77.4	3.87	.	43
---	------	------	---	----

5	75.2	3.76		18
---	------	------	--	----

.

6	57.6	2.88	.	38
---	------	------	---	----

---

( )

( )

( )

( )

( )

NCTM

(4.22-2.88)

":

(12)

(4.22)

"

(84.4)

.

.

:

(38)

"

"

(57.6)

(2.88)

.

:

NCTM

(7)

.

(7)

---

1	88.4	4.42	.	52
2	84	4.20	.	50
3	83.6	4.18	.	5

---

4	81.2	4.06	.	46
4	81.2	4.06	.	26
4	81.2	4.06	.	41
5	79.2	3.96	.	45
6	78.8	3.94	.	17
7	75.8	3.79	.	44
8	73.6	3.68	.	23

9	73	3.65		33
			)	
10	72.2	3.61	(	42
11	67.4	3.37		27
12	67.2	3.36		49
13	65.4	3.27		32
14	60.4	3.02		28
15	58.6	2.93		6
<hr/>				
<hr/>				
16	58.4	2.92		36
<hr/>				

NCTM

(4.42-2.92)

" : (52)

(88.4) (4.42)

(36)

"

".

(58.4)

(2.92)

NCTM

(8)

(8)

---

1	85.4	4.27	58
2	81.6	4.08	13
3	75.2	3.76	30
4	74.2	3.71	19

---

NCTM

(4.27-3.71)

":

(58)

(4.27)

"

(85.4)

(

)



(19)

".

(74.2)

(3.71)

"

.

.

:

NCTM

(9)

.

(9)

---

1	92.4	4.62		53
2	92.2	4.61		10
3	89.2	4.46		16
4	84.4	4.22		47
5	82.4	4.12		31
6	82.2	4.11		8
7	81.4	4.07		22
8	80.4	4.02		54
9	78.8	3.94		40
10	77.4	3.87		9
10	74.4	3.72	(	35

---

10	74.4	3.72			37
11	71.6	3.58			7
12	58.6	2.93			29
13	51.6	2.58			51
14	48.8	2.44			11
15	47.8	2.39			34

---

NCTM

(4.62-2.39)

(92.4) (4.62) " : (53) "

( )

·  
(34)

"

".

(47.8)

(2.39)

·

:

NCTM  
(10)

·

( 10)

---

1	81.8	4.09	.	15
2	79.6	3.98	.	39
3	76.8	3.84	)	57
4	76.6	3.83	(	24
5	73.2	3.66	.	48
6	72.8	3.64	.	25
7	72.4	3.62	.	20

---

NCTM

(4.09-3.62)

"

" :

(15)

(81.8)

(4.09)

(19)

"

".

(72.4)

(3.62)

:

3.1.4

:

NCTM

.( )

(T-test)

( )

NCTM

(One-Way ANOVA)

	(	)		NCTM	
				.	
				:	
				.	
(t-test)					
			NCTM		
( )			(0.05)		
(11)			NCTM		
				.	
			(11)		
				( )	
			NCTM		
<hr/>					
			( )		
			(0.05)		
<hr/>					
				77	
0.015	2.4	17.91	215.44		
				73	
		20.52	223.14		
				150	
<hr/>					
			(Andrade ,2009)		
			NCTM		

.

:

.

NCTM

(12)

(One-Way ANOVA)

(13)

NCTM

(12)

)

.( NCTM

---

16.9826	217.2093	43
24.2526	224.5231	65
9.2472	212.8524	42
19.5443	219.1867	150

---



( 13 )

)

.( NCTM

---

---

	1825.768	2	3651.537
--	----------	---	----------

5.039	362.335	147	53263.236
-------	---------	-----	-----------

0.008

	149	56914.773
--	-----	-----------

---

( )

NCTM

. NCTM

:

.

(One-Way ANOVA)

(14) NCTM

(15)

.

(14)

NCTM

)

.(

---

18.4459	88	216.2386	(10-1)
---------	----	----------	--------

20.8256	52	224.5192	(20-11)
---------	----	----------	---------

18.0074	10	217.4000	(30-21)
---------	----	----------	---------

19.5443	150	219.1867	
---------	-----	----------	--

---

(15)

NCTM

.

---

		1137.702	2	2275.404
0.050	3.061	371.696	147	54639.369
			149	56914.773

---

(2003 )

.(2009 )

( )  
NCTM

.

.

: 2.4

:

.1

.

.2

.

.3

.

.4

.

.5

.

.6

.7

.8

**3.4**

.1

.2

.3

.4

.5

.6

.7

ج.

2 . (2003)

.  
(2001)

.107-79 19

.(2010)

)

.797-753 3 24 ( (2008)

.

.

.(2009)

.

.

.(2010)

.2270-2227 8 24 ( )  
(1998)

.

.

.(1997)

.

.

.(2007)

.171 3 (4)

.(2003)

.(2001)

.134 30

.(2003)

( 1419 )

.(2006)

.(2007)

90

<http://www.abegs.org//aportal//research/golfresearchdeatails?id=15>

2011/2/1

. 11:32

.(2006)

.(2009)

.(1984)

.133 –117 18

.(2002)

(2004)

.(2004)

1

.(2008)

.(2001)

.(2006)

.(2005)

.(2007)

.

.157-133 12

.(2010)

": (35)

— ."

-28

12

.39

.(2009)

.

.

.

.( 1991 )

.

.(1986)

.

.33 -3 18

.(2008)

.

.

.(1998)

.

.

.(2007)

.NCTM

.



.(2009)

( )

.781-745 3 23

.(2004)

.

.

.(2005)

.(2009)

.(12-11)

.(2010)

.(12-11)

.(2005)

.(NCTM)

.

- Bolte, L.(1999). Using concept maps and interpretive essays for assessment in mathematics. **School Science and Mathematics**, 99(1), 19-30.
- El-Koumy, A.S.A.(2001). Effects of student self assessment on knowledge achievement and academic thinking. **National Center Examination and Education Evaluation**, Egypt. 22(24), 313-326.
- Fritz, C. (2001). **The level of teacher involvement in the verment mathematics portfolio assessment process in grade (4) classrooms**. Ph. D. University of New Hampshire. DA.
- Jurdak, M. & A. Zein, R.(1998). The effect of journal writing on achievement in and attitudes toward mathematics. **School Science and Mathematics**, 98(8), 412 – 41.
- Mcdonald, B. & Boud, D.(2003). The impact of self assessment on achievement. **Assessment in Education**, 10(2), 13.
- NCTM(1989). **Curriculum and Evaluation Standards for School Mathematics**. The national council of teachers of mathematics, Inc.
- NCTM(1995). **Assessment Standards for School Mathematics**. The national council of teachers of mathematics, Inc.
- NCTM(2000). **Principles and Standards for School Mathematics**. The national council of teachers of mathematics, Inc .
- Sueanne E. McKinney, Shannan Chappell, Robert Q. Berry, and Bythella T. Hickman.(2009). **An Examination of the Instructional Practices of Mathematics Teachers in Urban Schools**. Old Dominion University, Darden College of Education, Department of Educational Curriculum and Instruction, Norfolk, VA 23529, USA.
- Susuwele, Banda& William, John.(2005). **Classroom Assessment In Malawi: Teacher Perceptions In Mathematics**, dissertation, PHD, Virginia University.
- Young, Lane.(2007). **Determining Teachers' Behaviors Concerning The NCTM Standards In Low And High Performing Rural High Schools In Kansas**. Department of Curriculum And Instruction College of Education, Kansas State University Manhattan, Kansas.

()

.(

%27 .	21
%18 .	22
%45	23
	.
%27 (... )	24
	.
%36 .	25
%36 .	26
%36 .	27
%63 .	28
%27 .	29
%46 .	30

---

( )

"

" NCTM

/

"

" NCTM

.

(√)

.

.

:

:

92993110 :

[jokhakhmees@gmail.com](mailto:jokhakhmees@gmail.com) :

)

(

. NCTM

:

:

:

.

.

:

.

.

.

:

.

.

.

:

10

.

10 -5 .

5-1.

(√)

.



	:	:
		1
	.	
		2
	.	
		3
	.	
		4
	.	
		5
	.	
		6
	.	
	.	7
		8
	.	
		9
	.	
		10
	.	
		11
	.	
		12
	.	

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

) 35

.( 36

37

38

39

40

41

)  
(... 42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

	53
.	54
.	55
.	56
)	57
(	58
.	

---

( )

<hr/>			
<hr/>			
	/	.	1
/		.	2
	/	.	3
/		.	4
	/	.	5
	/		6
	/		7
	/		8
<hr/>			

( )  
. NCTM



(NCTM, 1995)

(NCTM, 1995)

( )

. NCTM

•

•

•

•

•

•

•

•

•

•

•

•

)

.(

•

•

●

•

•

●

)

•

•

•

●

•

)

●

.(

●

(

)

.

●

•

●

•

●